



## Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP)

### Reporting Periods **Please Select One**

- ☒ September 1, 2018 - September 30, 2019 Report must be submitted no later than January 1, 2020  
☐ October 1, 2019 - September 30, 2020 Report must be submitted no later than January 1, 2021  
☐ October 1, 2020 - June 30, 2021 Report must be submitted no later than September 30, 2021

Submit this report to the Homeless Coordinating and Financing Council by email to [hcfc@bcsh.ca.gov](mailto:hcfc@bcsh.ca.gov)

HEAP Contract # 18-HEAP-00036 Administrative Entity: El Dorado County Health and Human Services Date of Report: 12/11/2019

### Select Either Large City or CoC

☐ Large City: \_\_\_\_\_ ☒ Continuum of Care: El Dorado County

Contact Person/Title: Daniel Del Monte / Deputy Director Contact Phone Number: (530)295-6931

Contact Email Address: [daniel.delmonte@edcgov.us](mailto:daniel.delmonte@edcgov.us)

### Section 1: Expenditure Summary

	Total
Total HEAP Award	\$1,448,323.63
Total HEAP Homeless Youth Funds	\$50,000.00
Total HEAP Funds obligated during reporting period	\$1,375,882.03
Total HEAP funds expended during reporting period	\$79,137.00
Total HEAP administrative funds expended during reporting period	\$4,137.00
Total interest accrued during reporting period	\$0.00



## Section 2: Expenditure Detail

For the reporting period, provide the expenditure data for all HEAP allocations (Capital Improvements, Services, Rental Assistance or Subsidies, Homeless Youth Set-Aside and Administrative Costs, Other).

For expenditures in the Other category, please provide details on the type of project.

**Note:** The expenditures being reported are not for each individual project, but a summary of all projects funded by HEAP.

### Capital Improvements

New Projects Funded by HEAP	Total # of Beds	Total Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Non-Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Accrued Interest Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Short-Term Shelter					\$0.00
Emergency Shelter					\$0.00
Navigation Center					\$0.00
Transitional Housing					\$0.00
Other Types of Permanent Housing: Rapid Rehousing	1		\$2,900.00		\$2,900.00
Other Types of Permanent Housing: Homeless Prevention	1	\$500.00			\$500.00
<b>Total</b>	2.00	\$500.00	\$2,900.00	\$0.00	\$3,400.00

Expansion Projects Funded by HEAP	Original # of Beds	# of Beds Added	Total # of Beds	Total Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Non-Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Accrued Interest Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Short-Term Shelter			0				\$0.00
Emergency Shelter			0				\$0.00
Navigation Center			0				\$0.00
Transitional Housing			0				\$0.00
Permanent Supportive Housing			0				\$0.00
Other Types of Permanent Housing			0				\$0.00
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Total Increase in Capacity Funded by HEAP	Homeless Youth Funds			Non-Homeless Youth Funds		
	Original # of Nights	# of Nights Added	Total # of Nights	Original # of Nights	# of Nights Added	Total # of Nights
Short-Term Shelter			0			0
Emergency Shelter			0			0
Navigation Center			0			0
Motel Vouchers			0			0
Host Home Program			0			0
Other Projects: Rapid Rehousing			0	0	92	92
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	92	92

Other Projects Funded by HEAP	Total Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Non-Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Accrued Interest Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Appliances (washer, dryer, A/C, heater, water heater, etc.)				\$0.00
Mobile Hygiene Units (shower, bathrooms, and/or laundry)				\$0.00
Mobile Health Care Service Units (physical and/or behavioral)				\$0.00
Renovations (new roof, patio covering, painting, etc.)				\$0.00
Safe Parking				\$0.00
Other Projects: Please list and describe				\$0.00
<b>Total</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

### Services

Project Type Funded by HEAP	Total Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Non-Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Accrued Interest Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Street Outreach				\$0.00
Day Shelter				\$0.00
Homelessness Prevention	\$500.00			\$500.00
Housing Navigation and Landlord Engagement				\$0.00
Services Only				\$0.00
Motel Vouchers				\$0.00
Rental Assistance (not reported in Rental Assistance/Subsidies)				\$0.00
Move-in Costs				\$0.00
Host Home Program (not reported in Rental Assistance/Subsidies)				\$0.00
Other (see definition)				\$0.00
<b>Total</b>	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500.00

### Rental Assistance or Subsidies

Project Type Funded by HEAP	Total Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Non-Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Accrued Interest Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Rental Assistance (not reported in Services)				\$0.00
Rapid Rehousing		\$2,900.00		\$2,900.00
Master Leasing				\$0.00
Rental Subsidies				\$0.00
Host Home Program (not reported in Services)				\$0.00
Other: please list and describe. Add a row for each project				\$0.00
<b>Total</b>	\$0.00	\$2,900.00	\$0.00	\$2,900.00

### Other Projects

Other Projects Funded by HEAP	Total Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Non-Homeless Youth Funds Expended	Total Accrued Interest Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Other Projects: Please list and describe	\$0.00			\$0.00
Total	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00



### Section 3: Outcomes

Outcome data is for project types funded by HEAP. For the tables asking for the numbers of participants in each project or project type, one participant may be counted in more than one column.

The number in the "Total" column, however, should be of the number of unduplicated individuals as opposed to the sum of the numbers in that row.

#### Capital Improvement Projects (New and Expanded) Outcomes

	Homeless Youth HEAP Funds		Non-Homeless Youth HEAP Funds	
	Number of Days Service Provided	Number of Instances Of Service	Number of Days Service Provided	Number of Instances of Service
Mobile Hygiene Services				
Mobile Healthcare Services				
Other (please describe)				

SHORT-TERM SHELTER							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of "place not meant for habitation"							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

EMERGENCY SHELTER							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of "place not meant for habitation"							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							

Average length of stay (in days)							n/a
----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----

NAVIGATION CENTER							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

TRANSITIONAL HOUSING							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							

Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

OTHER TYPES OF PERMANENT HOUSING							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Average length of stay (in days)							

SAFE PARKING							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

#### SERVICES OUTCOMES

STREET OUTREACH							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							

DAY SHELTER							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

HOMELESS PREVENTION							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of services							

HOST HOMES							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of instances of services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a



HOUSING NAGIGATION-LANDLORD ENGAGEMENT							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of services							

SERVICES ONLY							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of services							

OTHER: PLEASE LIST							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of services							

#### RENTAL ASSISTANCE OR SUBSIDIES OUTCOMES

RENTAL ASSISTANCE
-------------------

Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of Services							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

RAPID REHOUSING							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of Services							
Average length of stay (in days)					92.00		n/a

MASTER LEASING							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of Services							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

MOTEL VOUCHERS
----------------

Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of Services							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

RENTAL SUBSIDIES							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of Services							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

HOST HOMES							
Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of “place not meant for habitation”							
Number of Instances of Services							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a

OTHER: PLEASE LIST TYPE
-------------------------

Performance Measures by Subpopulation	Chronically Homeless	Veteran	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (18-24)	Unaccompanied Homeless Youth (Under 18)	Households (Without Children)	Households (With Children and Adults)	Total Served (Unduplicated)
Number of homeless persons served							
Number of persons at imminent risk of homelessness served							
Number of persons served with a prior living situation of "place not meant for habitation"							
Number of persons exiting to a permanent housing destination							
Number of persons exiting to a safe exit, other than permanent housing							
Instances of Services							
Average length of stay (in days)							n/a



#### Section 4: Demographics

Fill in the tables below with the number of individuals served due to HEAP funds by the racial and ethnic categories listed below.

One participant may be counted in any of the racial categories and may also be counted separately in the Hispanic/Latino ethnicity column.

#### Age

Project Type/Age	12 and under	Ages 13-17	Ages 18-24	Ages 25-34	Ages 35-44	Ages 45-54	Ages 55-61	Ages 62+	Doesn't Know/ Refused to Answer
Night by Night Shelter									
Emergency Shelter									
Navigation Center									
Transitional Housing									
Permanent Supportive Housing									
Other Types of Permanent Housing									
Safe Parking									
Street Outreach									
Day Shelter									
Homelessness Prevention									
Housing Navigation/Landlord Engagement									
Services Only									
Other: Rapid Rehousing									
Rental Assistance and Subsidies									

#### Gender

Project Type/Gender	Male	Female	Trans Female (M to F)	Trans Male (F to M)	Gender Non-Conforming (not exclusively M or F)	Doesn't know/ Refused to Answer
Night by Night Shelter						
Emergency Shelter						
Navigation Center						
Transitional Housing						
Permanent Supportive Housing						
Other Types of Permanent Housing						
Safe Parking						
Street Outreach						
Day Shelter						
Homelessness Prevention						
Housing Navigation/Landlord Engagement						
Services Only						
Other: Rapid Rehousing						
Rental Assistance and Subsidies						

#### Race

Project Type/Race	White	Black or African American	Asian	American Indian or Alaska Native	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Multiple Races	Doesn't Know/ Refused to Answer
Night by Night Shelter							
Emergency Shelter							

Navigation Center							
Transitional Housing							
Permanent Supportive Housing							
Other Types of Permanent Housing							
Safe Parking							
Street Outreach							
Day Shelter							
Homelessness Prevention							
Housing Navigation/Landlord Engagement							
Services Only							
Other: Rapid Rehousing							
Rental Assistance and Subsidies							

### ***Ethnicity***

Project Type/Ethnicity	Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	Hispanic/Latino	Doesn't Know/ Refused to Answer
Short-Term Shelter			
Emergency Shelter			
Navigation Center			
Transitional Housing			
Permanent Supportive Housing			
Other Types of Permanent Housing			
Safe Parking			
Street Outreach			
Day Shelter			
Homelessness Prevention			
Housing Navigation/Landlord Engagement			
Services Only			
Other: Rapid Rehousing			
Rental Assistance and Subsidies			



## Section 5: Qualitative Assessment

**1. Describe how HEAP funds have contributed to your Continuum of Care making progress towards local homeless goals (e.g. additional services provided, capacity created, systems building, investments made, lower waitlists, etc.)**

HEAP funds have given the Continuum of Care the following:

**Additional Services:** HEAP funds have paid for all new services. HEAP funded programs did not exist prior, so additional Rapid Rehousing programs and other supportive housing programs have been a lift to the Coordinated Entry System and CoC.

**Capacity Created:** As these were one time funds, the CoC used them wisely, funding projects that could be re-funded if awarded in HUD's annual NOFA competition through the CoC. There are two traditional child welfare agencies that are implementing youth programs now through HEAP funds specifically for homeless youth, so HEAP funds have allowed them to use their strengths and knowledge to implement housing first programs, building their capacity as providers, to now be able to compete for HUD NOFA Funding, which is renewable. The goal is to create renewable youth programs. Additionally, funds were used to pay for a Transitional Housing Rapid Rehousing (THRRH) Hybrid program through Probation, targeting the reentry population, prioritizing those who are homeless and most vulnerable, first. Similarly, Probation has not traditionally implemented a housing first program, so HEAP funding is directly building their capacity as a provider, improving their odds of competing and being awarded in annual HUD NOFA competitions.

**Systems Building:** The described youth and Probation projects have developed a system of supportive housing services that can be referred to from Coordinated Entry. By having capacity, these agencies can have an immediate impact, while hopefully leveraging renewable funding to keep these programs indefinitely operating, building our local system response to homelessness. Additionally, the largest portion of our HEAP allocation (\$850,000) was set aside for the purchase, construction, or rehab of a property that can be dedicated to a temporary housing program. El Dorado County has never had a year-round temporary housing program, and so these funds will directly be used to build an emergency system response. The El Dorado Opportunity Knocks Continuum of Care (EDOK) is currently developing a 5-year strategic plan in which one of its goals will be to develop a temporary housing program. As it is completed by July or August 2020, HEAP Funds will support that goal being accomplished, adding temporary housing program systems, locally.

**Investments Made:** As mentioned, the providers currently implementing programs have directly received funding that now positions them to gain capacity as providers, increasing their ability to house homeless people successfully, and improving their odds of competitiveness through HUD NOFA competitions to receive renewable funding for their programs. HEAP funds were directly utilized as investments in these providers, to grow as agencies to meet local needs related to homelessness for youth and the reentry population. Additionally, with the larger portion of HEAP funds set aside for the development of a temporary housing project, that pot of funding will be utilized to invest in a temporary housing program that is intended to remain a constant resource for the CoC.

**Lower Waitlists:** Through having three youth programs added to the CoC, the by-name list for youth has decreased. Additionally, with HEAP funds supporting homeless prevention and diversion for homeless youth, the youth by-name list also was not added to by new homeless youth, those youth households that were prevented or diverted from becoming and remaining homeless.

**2. Describe how HEAP funds have contributed to your Continuum of Care making progress towards local youth homelessness goals (e.g. additional services provided, capacity created, systems building, investments made, lower waitlist, etc.)**

Specific to Transition Age Youth, ages 18 – 24, three projects were funded with the following agencies:  
Tahoe Youth and Family Services (TYF), Liliput Families, and Whole Person Learning (WPL).

TYF is an experienced homeless provider, serving homeless youth, and this funding allowed them to launch a new Transitional Housing Rapid Rehousing (THRRH) Hybrid project. They are renting a transitional home, allowing a low barrier room for any homeless youth on the street to immediately resolve their immediate unsheltered homelessness. While in that transitional/temporary apartment, TYF can help them to connect with a permanent destination through family or friends, or Rapid Rehousing funds can be available if a unit has been located.

Specific to Lilliput and WPL, both providers are traditionally services providers to foster youth or former foster youth. HEAP funds allowed for these two providers to support the Continuum of Care, providing their traditional child welfare services in a tailored way to homeless youth. Often times, homeless and foster youth have very similar backgrounds or life experiences and can both benefit from services that are offered to foster or former foster youth. HEAP funds have directly allowed the CoC to have these programs available through its Coordinated Entry System, in which these traditional child welfare-focused programs did not exist prior. WPL is making available funds for homeless prevention/diversion, Rapid Rehousing, and host homes. Lilliput is providing a family finding service, linking homeless youth to friends or family that can reconnect with the young person to provide stable and permanent housing. This program functions much like a host-home program, except the home is that of a family member or friend that has lost contact with the young person.

Lilliput, Whole Person Learning, and Tahoe Youth and Family Services had their contracts live as of July 1st, giving them only 3 months of this Year 1 HEAP report to operate. As such, the majority of the time was used to ramp up operation. Toward the end of 2019, significant referrals have picked up into the programs, and numbers for the Year 2 report are anticipated to reflect much of the hard work put into ramping up and integrating the programs into the CoC's Coordinated Entry process. As new CoC providers, Lilliput and WPL have been building up their capacity to receive referrals, while at the same time the CoC gained a new Coordinated Entry lead agency in September 2019. TYF also took the first 3 months to locate a house to rent as their transitional home, though they did prevent one parenting youth household from becoming homeless. Through monthly case management meetings occurring specifically for youth funded projects in the CoC since October 2019, and as the new Coordinated Entry Lead agency gains more experience, referrals and housing placement has already increased tremendously, and the three HEAP Funded youth providers will begin to occur more regularly, each month (i.e. snow ball effect)



**3. Describe the alignment between HEAP-funded activities and "Housing First" principles (as defined in Welfare and Institutions Code section 8255).**

There are 5 projects funded through HEAP:

- 1) Set aside of dollars dedicated to temporary housing project
- 2) Probation – reentry project
- 3) Tahoe Youth and Family Services (TYF)
- 4) Whole Person Learning
- 5) Lilliput

1. As the temporary project is not permanent housing, it will be a ‘no-barrier,’ program. As the strategic plan is developed, all parties understand that any temporary beds made available cannot have any preconditions to entering the project, such as clean credit, being sober, having medications, etc. Access to any temporary bed will not be contingent upon participating in services. It is anticipated that the project may likely be dedicated to serving chronically homeless individuals, which then by definition these folks would have at least one disabling condition that prevents them from retaining housing. As such, the project must be ‘no-barrier,’ to ensure that all eligible chronically homeless can access the beds without preconditions, making all services available to them that can be produced, but not requiring services participation to have access to the temporary program bed.
2. All of the other projects participate in Continuum of Care quarterly meetings, where trainings occur around Housing First principles, equal access and fair housing, and more. Also, monthly Coordinated Entry workgroup meetings occur, being led by the CoC’s Coordinated Entry Lead Agency, who helps ensure that the most vulnerable, eligible individual on the by-name list can be referred to each organization. As all HEAP funded programs operate through the CoC, the agencies understand that they must follow the CoC’s written standards, which highlight the CoC’s priorities for housing programs. The CoC only supports housing first programs, ensuring that there are no preconditions to entering a program, that services are made available where possible but are only provided at the request of the individual experiencing homelessness, and that any available HEAP funded bed is not contingent upon participating in services.

**4. Please provide any additional feedback on the impact of HEAP funds that you would like to share with the Homeless Coordinating and Financing Council. (Optional)**

HEAP funding has allowed El Dorado's CoC to give significant experience to providers that have not historically been CoC providers. Now, utilizing their strengths while piloting projects, they will be able to pursue renewable federal funding to keep these projects funded. This one time state funding has allowed El Dorado County to maximize State and local dollars, in an effort to leverage federal and other outside resources to keep homeless housing programs sustainable, saving both state and local general fund expenses.

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:**

Section 1 expenditure summary reflects contract amounts distributed to the 4 providers currently operating housing-first programs (Lilliput, Whole Person Learning, Tahoe Youth and Family Services, and El Dorado County Probation). Their contracts were designed to front-load distributions, thereby reducing financial barriers to serving homeless households, i.e. if the contracts operated on a reimbursement basis. HHSA felt it was important for the state to understand how much had been distributed to contractors compared to how much (by the providers) had been expended associated with the outcomes, during this reporting period. With that context, Section 2 reflects the amounts spent by the 4 agencies. As all 4 agencies had their contracts begin July 1 2020, the first 3 months of their contracts (i.e. the last 3 months of this reporting period) were primarily spent ramping up operations, integrating with the CoC's Coordinated Entry System, and developing policies and processes for referrals from the Coordinated Entry System. With that, housing and services are being provided more each month, with more significant outcomes coming after the reporting period reflected in this report. Outcomes following the reporting period reflected in this report have continued to expand, and each program is positioned to meet its housing goals during the course of their contract term. HHSA is excited to report outcomes in the Year 2 HEAP report following the continued momentum being built surrounding these programs. Additionally, it is anticipated that the largest set aside of funding (\$850,000 for a temporary housing project) will begin being funded in its development over the Year 2 reporting period.

**Submission Certification**

I certify that all information included in this report is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Signature: DANIEL A. DEL MONTE

Date: 19-Dec-19

Name &  
Title: Daniel A. Del Monte

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Section 1 expenditure summary reflects contract amounts distributed to the 4 providers currently operating housing-first programs (Lilliput, Whole Person Learning, Tahoe Youth and Family Services, and El Dorado County Probation). Their contracts were designed to front-load distributions, thereby reducing financial barriers to serving homeless households, i.e. if the contracts operated on a reimbursement basis. HHSA felt it was important for the state to understand how much had been distributed to contractors compared to how much (by the providers) had been expended associated with the outcomes, during this reporting period. With that context, Section 2 reflects the amounts spent by the 4 agencies. As all 4 agencies had their contracts begin July 1 2020, the first 3 months of their contracts (i.e. the last 3 months of this reporting period) were primarily spent ramping up operations, integrating with the CoC's Coordinated Entry System, and developing policies and processes for referrals from the Coordinated Entry System. With that, housing and services are being provided more each month, with more significant outcomes coming after the reporting period reflected in this report. Outcomes following the reporting period reflected in this report have continued to expand, and each program is positioned to meet its housing goals during the course of their contract term. HHSA is excited to report outcomes in the Year 2 HEAP report following the continued momentum being built surrounding these programs. Additionally, it is anticipated that the largest set aside of funding (\$850,000 for a temporary housing project) will begin being funded in its development over the Year 2 reporting period.

## Submission Certification

I certify that all information included in this report is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Signature:



Date:

11-Feb-20

Name &  
Title:

Patty Moley, Assistant Director of Human Services, in lieu of Daniel Del Monte