

## HHAP Application: County of Placer

---

### 1. SUMMARY OF HOMELESSNESS IN THE CoC, LARGE CITY, OR COUNTY

- A. The LSA is submitted with the CoC CA-515's application.
- B. The LSA Data indicated the following:

Placer and Nevada CoC Longitudinal System Assessment Oct 1, 2017 Sept 30, 2018	
<b>1. Total number of households served in</b>	
(1) Emergency Shelter, Safe Haven and Transitional Housing	1005
(2) Rapid Rehousing	197
(3) Permanent Supportive Housing	177
<b>Total Numbers Served Across All Interventions</b>	
2. Disabled households	1067
3. Households experiencing chronic homelessness	402
4. 55+ households	413
5. Unaccompanied youth	81
6. Veteran households	133
<b>7. Number Of Individual Served Across All Intervention Who Were</b>	
(1) Female	624
(2) Male	820
(3) Transgender	5
(4) Gender Non-Conforming	1
<b>8. Total Number Individuals Served Across All Interventions Who Were</b>	
(1) White, Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino (only)	1088
(2) White, Hispanic/Latino (only),	94
(3) Black or African American (only)	59
(4) Asian (only)	7
(5) American Indian or Alaska Native (only)	46
(6) Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (only)	8
(7) Multiple races	134

During the period of October 1, 2017 and September 30, 2018 Nevada and Placer were a combined CoC; the LSA is combined data from Nevada and Placer. Placer County pulled from HMIS to complete data of the same time period as the LSA. Below are the results of the data pulled from HMIS.

Placer County  
HMIS Data  
Oct 1, 2017 Sept 30, 2018

<b>1. Total number of households served in</b>	
(1) Emergency Shelter, Safe Haven and Transitional Housing	837
(2) Rapid Rehousing	65
(3) Permanent Supportive Housing	195
<b>Total Numbers Served Across All Interventions</b>	
2. Disabled <i>individuals</i>	713
3. Households experiencing chronic homelessness	306
4. 55+ <i>individuals</i>	297
5. Unaccompanied youth	Unable to determine
6. Veteran households	91
<b>7. Number Of Individuals Served Across All Intervention Who Were</b>	
(1) Female	426
(2) Male	663
(3) Transgender	5
(4) Gender Non-Conforming	1
<b>8. Total Number Individuals Served Across All Interventions Who Were</b>	
(1) White, Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino (only)	808
(2) White, Hispanic/Latino (only),	
(3) Black or African American (only)	68
(4) Asian (only)	6
(5) American Indian or Alaska Native (only)	45
(6) Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (only)	5
(7) Multiple races	132

## **2. DEMONSTRATION OF REGIONAL COORDINATION**

### **A. Coordinated Entry System (CES) Information**

The County of Placer contracts with Connecting Point to provide phone service and the initial intake into the Coordinated Entry System. Callers who are homeless or at risk of homelessness call the Homeless Resource Helpline (1-833-3Placer). An initial screening for diversion to 911 or our DV provider occurs. Callers continuing complete an HMIS intake and Vulnerability Assessment. The Vulnerability Assessment Tool asks 16 questions with an additional 3 points from a case manager at project intake. In order to enter one of the two emergency shelters operated by The Gathering Inn, a referral must come from Coordinated Entry. People are prioritized based on vulnerability. Service providers can re-evaluate the vulnerability score as they work with the client. Additionally, people receive resources for support services, homeless prevention funds and rapid rehousing, including but not limited to CalWORKS for families, Veterans Services for Vets, and APS for their Home Safe grant.

From Coordinated Entry in HMIS, the By Name List (BNL) is developed. The list has everyone listed by their vulnerability scores and can be filtered/customized based on various factors including age, veteran status, disability, CalWORKS enrollment, DV status, location, among others. Based on housing program requirements (MHSA, Whole Person Care, Shelter Plus Care, ASOC PSH, AMIH PSH, Stand UP Placer) the most vulnerable names are pulled from the BNL by the provider for housing opportunities.

The Coordinated Entry (CE) process is publicized through county media- Facebook and the county webpage. Flyers are posted in many of the county offices and with various community agencies. Local jurisdictions post the flyers on their webpages. Business cards are printed in English and Spanish with the call-in details, these cards are supplied to homeless service providers throughout the county. Additionally, the cards are handed out during the annual homeless count. CE is advertised on the Homeless Resource Council of the Sierra's webpage. Large community groups- the Campaign for Community Wellness, Placer Collaborative Network and Placer's Consortium on Homelessness are educated on the CE process and call in details throughout the year. Placer County has several outreach efforts, and these workers assist the homeless to make the call to CE. Placer County Health and Human Services provides behavioral outreach workers to County Probation and Sheriff's Department. The Dewitt Wellness Center has two outreach workers to outreach to the homeless at the Dewitt Government Center. The Tahoe Truckee area has an outreach worker who specifically serves in that area. In the past, the County partnered with the City of Roseville to provide one part-time behavioral health outreach worker as a pilot. Based on the success, the partnership is expanding to two part-time outreach workers to work alongside law enforcement. Additionally, outreach for people in need of mental health services is provided by our MHSA Full-Service Partnership programs.

Even though Coordinated Entry has yet to receive a grievance, an individual would go through the Homeless Council of the Sierras and/or the County grievance process depending on the concern. County grievance forms can be found on the County webpage.

The CE line has bi-lingual Spanish speaking staff. The County also has staff who are bilingual in Spanish and Russian. The County also contracts with a language line service for all county staff to access. The County hires staff reflective of the community in which they serve. Staff who are bilingual are given a 5% salary adjustment for their additional skills in other languages. The County also contracts and hires many peers and formerly homeless staff to provide direct services.

There have been a couple of challenges with CE- keeping an up to date BNL and ensuring accuracy of the information. CE began without the infrastructure to keep the BNL up to date. The system didn't have staff in place to manage inflow or outflow of client information and policies around inactive status. The County first carved out staff time to manage, by assisting the highest vulnerable with their paperwork to qualify for housing programs- it was taking weeks. This assisted a little with reducing the time people waited for housing once their name was pulled. However, as the list continued to grow, additional county staff assisted calling people to follow up to confirm they needed to remain on the list and to also provide information on community resources. The County joined Community Solutions Built for Zero Initiative (BFZ). The support of BFZ gave additional support and ideas of how to manage the list: the development of an inactive policy as well as case management teams focused on veterans and chronic homeless. All these ideas were implemented and assisted with the management of the list. The County applied for grant funding through HEAP to fund a position to coordinate the BNL/CE process. The CoC Outcomes and Measurements committee continues to evaluate the BNL/HMIS/CE for areas of improvement.

## **B. Prioritization Criteria**

1. On the Vulnerability Assessment Tool, points are given based on answers to 16 areas and additional points are given to individuals who are felt to be at higher risk. People under 25 and over 59 are given a point, unaccompanied youth under 18 are given 2 points. Females or transgender or gender non-confirming are given a point. If a household member is pregnant or has children under 18 a point is given. Also, up to an additional 3 points can be given by a case manager working with the individual. Please refer to the attached Vulnerability Assessment Tool for complete details.
2. The CoC manages the policies and procedures for CE, the Outcomes and Measurements committee evaluates its effectiveness. The Coordinated Entry System is currently being reviewed by a Technical Assistance Provider. The CoC ensures all required programs funded through HUD, ESG, HEAP, Home Safe, CESH, Bringing Families Home, Housing for Healthy CA are participating in HMIS and CE. The domestic violence programs use the same

VAT and have a comparable HMIS that complies with requirements established by HUD.

### **C. Coordination of Regional Needs**

1. The Placer community is very collaborative- nonprofits, for profit service agencies, homeless individuals, and governments work together to address and present solutions to resolve homelessness for its residents. Placer County Health and Human Services (PC HHS) sits on the Homeless Resource Council of the Sierras (HRCS) Board, the governing body of the CoC. Other active participants from Health and Human Services include Whole Person Care, Human Services (Housing Authority), and Adult Protective Services Home Safe. PC HHS participates in the monthly HRCS meetings and additionally several committees including Outcomes and Measurements. There is a close working relationship with the City of Roseville addressing the needs of the homeless in south county and the city limits, including partnership with the City of Roseville Police Department, monthly case management meetings, and quarterly leadership meetings. Included in the quarterly leadership meetings are City officials from Rocklin and Lincoln. Discussions include current issues related to homelessness, resources addressing homeless issues, and how a collaborative effort may assist. The Probation Department covers all of Placer County, supporting the Homeless Liaison Team's efforts to address homeless issues throughout Placer County. Local law enforcement with homeless outreach teams meet quarterly to discuss trends and efforts being made in their communities.

Our Built for Zero Initiative addresses homeless on our By Name List throughout Placer County. There are weekly collaborative case management meetings covering either the most vulnerable, veterans, or the 49x4-9 campaign (a community goal to house 49 of our most vulnerable by 4/9/2020). These meetings include both housing authorities, Veterans Administration, Veterans Service Office, homeless outreach staff, shelter staff, ASOC staff, WPC staff, housing providers, mental health contractors, and HMIS data staff.

Much of the Tahoe Truckee efforts are a regional effort with Nevada County, since there is a shared geographic boundary. We partner on shared positions such as a Homeless Outreach worker and a County Program Manager. The Mountain Housing Council has representatives from both Placer and Nevada working on collaborative housing solutions for very low income and homeless.

2. As a community there are needs in all areas of the continuum to address homelessness. However, community members came together on January 16<sup>th</sup> to set priorities for the HHAP funding. They included members from minority groups, health care, law enforcement, education, TAY, domestic violence, shelters, housing providers, veteran programs, people of lived experience, behavioral health and county human services. All eight

categories were explained and ideas of what could be done with the funds discussed. The group voted unanimously in favor of funding “System Support” to be used towards a Coordinated Entry Specialist. Secondly, the group voted most favorably for “Permanent Housing and Innovative Housing Solutions.” The next area of support from the group was, “New Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelters.” Time prohibited a lengthy discussion on several ideas that were stated: mobile home parks, board and care, TAY transitional housing for those with or without mental health condition, medical billing for homeless services (Healthy CA for All), TAY- housing collaborative and rapid rehousing, emergency shelter for veterans- maybe hotel vouchers, permanent housing- for military guards and reservist, active duty personnel, low barrier shelter, housing for register sex offenders, transitional housing in general, employment services, innovative housing for senior citizens, operating subsidies, tenant based voucher program, voucher program for veterans who don't qualify HUDVASH, LGBTQ transitional housing, gap funding for unfunded CoC competition. No one in the group had a project ready to go, but all were excited about the prospect of a new opportunity. Next steps will be to finalize project ideas that are achievable with the available two-year funding: Permanent or Innovative Solutions \$484,818.20; New Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelter \$53,866.00; and Youth \$53,868.42.

#### **D. Creating Sustainable, Long Term Housing Solutions**

1. Placer County Health and Human Services (HHS) has added 90 plus permanent supportive housing beds over the past 3 years in addition to adding HUDVASH and Mainstream Vouchers. Health and Human Services has participated in meetings with the Planning Department on tiny homes, rezoning for secondary dwellings, Housing Element development, and affordable housing projects in the Tahoe Basin. HHS works closely with the County's Facilities Department on shelter issues, since there is a nonprofit/HHS supported shelter on the Dewitt Government Center. The City of Roseville, nonprofit housing developer Meta Housing, and HHS have an affordable housing project that broke ground in July 2019. By pulling together our resources, the Meta Housing Project added 65 new low-income housing units with 10 set aside for MHSA participants. By bringing to the table HHS No Place Like Home funding and HUD vouchers and partnering with Mercy Housing, County Facilities Services- 79 units of affordable housing will be built on the Dewitt Government Center with 20 units set-aside for MHSA participants.

A year ago, Placer County HHS joined Community Solutions in the Built for Zero Initiative. HHS invited the CoC coordinator (Thurmond Consulting), shelter provider (The Gathering Inn), and the City of Roseville to help lead the initiative. The team is joined by multiple nonprofits, veteran groups, WPC, housing authorities and outreach teams. There are three focus workgroups

meeting to resolve homelessness for the most vulnerable on the By Name List. The groups look at housing barriers and housing opportunities for the most vulnerable; exploring funding sources that may remove barriers and assigning tasks to help move people forward. Every meeting begins with celebration of people permanently housed since the last meeting- providing hope and encouragement. Each meeting includes updating the information in HMIS of what has happened, next steps and who is responsible (accountability). Before the meetings, updated lists are distributed so people can come prepared to discuss. Through the BFZ effort, we have seen improvements in our BNL, Coordinated Entry Process and people being housed.

**Follow Up HHAP Questions**

**The number of available shelter beds in the city, county, or region served by a continuum of care**

According to the 2019 Housing Inventory Count showed 250 year-round emergency shelter beds. Fifteen of these beds were hotel vouchers provided by Placer County CalWORKs and fifty are provided by Stand Up Placer, the primary victim service provider.

**Shelter vacancy rate in the summer and winter months**

DV shelter response- Our safe house consists of 13 units h 55 beds, each unit houses either a family or single adult in a dormitory fashion.

Vacancy rate (%) in Summer (June-August) and Winter (Dec-Feb) – from last FY 18/19

- o Summer 88.35% occupancy based on available rooms (74.9% based on beds)
- o Winter 86.75% occupancy based on available rooms (47.8% based on beds) a higher level of single adults and small families

**Year-Round Shelters**

Period: 07/01/18-06/30/19	TGI Mid Placer	TGI South Placer
<b>Vacancy Rate:</b>		
Summer (Jun-Aug)	100%	100%
Winter (Dec-Feb)	96%	100%

**Percentage of exits to from emergency shelter to permanent housing solutions**

The DV shelter reports- Percentage of exits (of the total population) to permanent housing – FY 2018/2019 – there were a total of 113 exits with 98 going to PH = 87%

The Year Round shelters – Mid Placer reports 27% and South Placer 37% exits to permanent housing Period: 07/01/18-06/30/19

**A plan to connect residents to permanent housing**

All individuals and households in emergency shelters receive case management services. Additionally, emergency shelter providers have a close collaboration with the local permanent housing service providers and referrals are consistently made through Coordinated Entry.

### 3. RESOURCES ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS

#### A. Existing Programs and Resources

1. List of all funds provide housing and homeless services to homeless

ESG- Shared with Nevada County (one year)	\$100,595
CoC Planning Grants and HMIS	\$82,161
CoC Program Grants (Estimate Annual Renewal Demand)	\$1,079,711
CSBG Project Go	\$88,000
HDAP (3 Years)	\$197,002
City of Roseville CDBG	\$529,528
City of Roseville Low Mod fund	\$250,000
City of Roseville Police Department Social Services Unit	\$646,000
City of Roseville Parks and Recreation Department	\$127,000
Home Safe (3-year grant)	\$469,000
NPLH (Competitive and Noncompetitive)	\$2,751,317
NPLH TA	\$100,000
Whole Person Care (yearly)	\$12,876,457
Whole Person Care One-Time Funding for Housing	\$1,318,475
Roseville Business Association	\$20,000
Roseville Citizen Benefit Fund	\$163,600
City of Roseville Homeless Outreach workers	\$20,000
MHSA Homeless Service Programs (yearly)	\$2,964,865
MHSA Housing Program – Timberline	\$949,543
MHSA Housing Program – Placer Street	\$1,423,594
MHSA Housing- PSH 2019-22 Services and Housing	\$2,070,462
MHSA Housing- PSH Tahoe 2019-22 Services & Housing	\$1,160,000
MHSA Housing Meta Housing in Roseville	\$1,250,000
MHSA Housing Main Street- Service Contract (MHSA/WPC)	\$615,000
MHSA Housing Main Street purchase	\$2,00000
General Fund- Housing Coordinators (2 years)	\$280,000
General Fund- Coordinated Entry (yearly)	\$27,500
General Fund- CoC Coordination (yearly)	\$53,262
General Fund- Shelters (yearly)	\$1,485,541
General Fund- Homeless Liaison Team (Probation, Sheriff, HHS) (yearly)	Est. \$1,000,000
HEAP	\$1,529,652
CESH Round 1	\$558,995
CESH Round 2	\$309,985
CalWORKs Housing Support Program	\$1,006,531
Bringing Families Home	\$825,000
HUDVASH Roseville Housing Authority	\$308,707
HUD Mainstream vouchers Roseville Housing Authority	\$221,372
HUDVASH Placer Housing Authority	\$306,385
HUD Mainstream vouchers Placer Housing Authority	\$223,237
Sutter Foundation- housing development	\$2,070,462
Homeless Mentally Ill Outreach and Treatment (2 years)	\$307,000
Housing for Healthy California (over 5 years)	\$2,019,891
HHAP- County	\$678,064
HHAP CoC	\$726,829

2. There are times when jurisdictions take initiative to address homelessness and housing issues solely, and there are times when a partnership is the best option. For the most part, the community and jurisdictions see homelessness as a county-wide issue without boundaries and with every jurisdiction having to share the responsibility. Pooling resources both in funding and staff has showed good outcomes, as demonstrated with the development of new housing, outreach teams, and more people getting housed. Housing is often developed where there is opportunity versus a more planned effort, i.e. housing comes about where there is a house or building to purchase. There is an effort to spread MHSA Housing throughout the county in all jurisdictions; however, it is dependent on where housing is for sale.
3. Even though there have been gains in housing development in the last year, there remains the greatest need for permanent supportive housing. The Strategic Plan indicated the need a year ago, and the HHAP community meeting reinforced it. In addition to permanent supportive housing, TAY housing and low barrier shelters remain gaps in the continuum.

## **B. HHAP Funding Plans**

1. The intention at this point is to distribute HHAP funds in two-year funding cycles since spreading the dollars over five years doesn't provide adequate funding. However, if in the planning, a project comes forward and is supported for all the funding to be spent at one time, a budget amendment may be requested. An example might be to purchase a property which would be for more than the one-year amount for permanent supportive housing or an innovative solution. If a motel were to come on the market and these funds were partnered with social services funding, a complete project could be made. The plan would be for one or more Permanent or Innovative Solutions projects using \$484,818.20 over two years. Some of the ideas that came from the HHAP community meeting included: motel conversion, mobile home park acquisition, permanent housing for active military (including national guards and reserves), board and care, housing for registered sex offenders, innovative senior housing, operating subsidies, a tenant base housing voucher program, LGBTQ transitional housing, TAY transitional housing, CoC gap funding and employment services. Due to the short turnaround of the grant, an RFP or Letter of Interest could not be accomplished prior to the grant application due date; however, the HHAP group has identified several ideas of how they would like the money spent. The County has an option to complete an RFP process for the funds in the categories identified by the HHAP group. The County already has contracts with many of the providers, so there is a possibility that a contract amendment is the needed course, expediting the money getting into the community. Community partnerships will be encouraged. Having another community meeting may be necessary to scale down the number of project ideas. There may be an opportunity to Sole Source depending on the focus activity. The County wants the funds serving the community as soon as possible. Ideally,

these funds would target a population not already served. The participants would come off the By Name List. The provider would commitment to Housing First in contract negotiations. The second category of funding addresses New Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelter with \$53,866 over two years toward this category. Some of the ideas that came out of the HHAP community meeting included emergency housing for veterans through hotel vouchers and low barrier shelters. The Youth Set-Aside could be partnered with any of the other funding categories above; but there will be \$53,868.42 available over 2 years. Some of the Youth ideas included a targeted transitional housing program for mental health and non-mental health TAY and Rapid Rehousing specific to TAY.

2. The County will have contracts with community providers, and the contract will have specific language with adherence to Housing First. The County already has contracts with community partners with Housing First adherence language.

#### **4. PARTNERS ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS**

##### **A. Collaborating Partner Efforts**

1. The County works in conjunction with the CoC, the Campaign for Community Wellness (MHSA Steering Committee), Placer Collaborative Network, TAY Housing Collaborative and Placer Consortium on Homelessness to provide and elicit information from county and community partners. At the first HHAP community meeting there was representation from the following groups:
  - Placer High School
  - Project Go (Housing Provider, CSBG administrator, CoC Board)
  - AMI Housing (Housing Provider, Homeless Lived Experience)
  - Whole Person Learning (TAY)
  - The Gathering Inn (Shelter Provider)
  - City of Roseville Housing Department
  - Chapa-De Indian Health
  - Volunteers of America Veteran Services
  - Stand up Placer (Domestic Violence)
  - Roseville Police Department
  - Placer County Adult System of Care and Whole Person Care
  - Placer County Health and Human Services- Human Services
  - Latino Leadership Council
  - Facilitated by CoC Contractor – Thurmond Consulting

Even though there will be a limited number of partners funded in the above collaboratives, our community works closely on homeless issues. Many of the homeless partners and county participates in several of the collaboratives. The selected partners who receive funding will enter contracts with the County of Placer. They will be required to submit outcome data, monthly detailed invoices, and required to participate in HMIS and Coordinated Entry. The County will assist in ensuring HHAP providers are successful.

2. There are rare occasions when partnerships or contractors run in to barriers. The best way to resolve issues is with clear communication and together come up with a solution. Clear and frequent communication is essential to a good partnership.

## **5. SOLUTIONS TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS**

Placer County Homeless Strategic Plan was developed in February 2019 under the leadership of the CoC and Thurmond Consulting LLC. The Plan included six strategies responding to homelessness.

STRATEGY 1: Develop Affordable Housing With Services (PSH)

STRATEGY 2: Continue Support at CDRA Housing Development Stakeholder Meetings

STRATEGY 3: Communicate Consistently With General Public About Homelessness

STRATEGY 4: Increase Investment in Treatment—Alcohol, Drug, and Mental Health

STRATEGY 5: Increase Emergency Housing Beds

STRATEGY 6: Continue Participation in the Built for Zero Campaign

### **HHAP Goals**

HHAP will develop at least one permanent supportive housing/innovative project permanently housing 5 individuals.

HHAP will assist in the development of one TAY program, serving 8 individuals.

HHAP will assist with at least one emergency housing program, serving at least 10 individuals.



**HOMELESS HOUSING, ASSISTANCE AND PREVENTION PROGRAM (HHAP)  
ANNUAL BUDGET TEMPLATE**

**APPLICANT INFORMATION**

CoC / Large City / County Name:

County of Placer
------------------

Receiving Redirected Funds? Y/N

No
----

Administrative Entity Name:

Health and Human Services
---------------------------

Total Redirected Funding

\$ -
------

**HHAP FUNDING EXPENDITURE PLAN\***

ELIGIBLE USE CATEGORY	FY20/21	FY21/22	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	TOTAL
Rental Assistance and Rapid Rehousing (Youth Set Aside)	\$ 26,934.44	\$ 26,934.21	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 53,868.65
Operating Subsidies and Reserves	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Landlord Incentives	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Outreach and Coordination (including employment)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Systems Support to Create Regional Partnerships	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Delivery of Permanent Housing	\$ 242,409.00	\$ 242,409.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 484,818.00
Prevention and Shelter Diversion to Permanent Housing	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
New Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelters	\$ 26,933.00	\$ 26,933.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 53,866.00
Strategic Homelessness Planning, Infrastructure Development, CES, and HMIS (up to 5%)	\$ 16,833.88	\$ 16,833.88	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 33,667.76
Administrative (up to 7%)	\$ 23,567.43	\$ 23,567.44	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 47,134.87
						\$ 673,355.28
<b>TOTAL FUNDING ALLOCATION</b>						
	<b>FY20/21</b>	<b>FY21/22</b>	<b>FY22/23</b>	<b>FY23/24</b>	<b>FY24/25</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Youth Set-Aside (at least 8%)	\$ 26,934.44	\$ 26,934.21	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 53,868.65

\*Narrative should reflect details of HHAP funding plan

**COMMENTS:**

FINAL
-------



**Housing Division**  
316 Vernon Street #150  
Roseville, California 95678

February 6, 2020

To Whom It May Concern:

The City of Roseville has a close collaboration with Placer County and the Homeless Resource Council of the Sierras, the administrative entity for Continuum of Care CA-515, with representatives from the City sitting on the Board of Directors. The Continuum of Care, CA-515 made up of three jurisdictions Roseville/Rocklin/Placer County meets monthly as a Board, Executive Committee and Subcommittees to address projects' outcomes, HMIS, Coordinated Entry and special tasks. The planning and evaluation of HHAP spending and projects will be a standing agenda item for the CoC meetings. Meetings are attended by local nonprofit agencies, representatives from local jurisdictions, law enforcement, religious organizations, county staff, and other members of the community. Additional community meetings will be held as needed. The City participated in conjunction with the CoC to determine priorities for the HHAP funds with 11 other organizations. Together the CoC and the County have identified needs to address homelessness and are working in concordance with the Placer County Homeless Strategic Plan.

The City of Roseville supports Placer County and the Homeless Resource Council of the Sierras applications for HHAP funding.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Trisha Isom", is written over a horizontal line.

Trisha Isom, Housing Manager



February 5, 2020

To Whom It May Concern,

The Homeless Resource Council of the Sierras, the administrative entity for CA-515, has a close collaboration with the County of Placer, with representatives from the County sitting on the Board of Directors. CA-515: Roseville/Rocklin/Placer County CoC meet on a monthly basis. The planning and evaluation of HHAP spending and projects will be a standing agenda item for the CoC meeting. Meetings are attended by local nonprofit agencies, representatives from local jurisdictions, law enforcement, religious organizations, county staff, and other members of the community. Additional meetings will be held as necessary. The CoC has reviewed the County's plans for HHAP spending and agrees with their intended spending plan. Both the CoC and the County have identified the needs to address homelessness and are working to share the need in concordance with the Placer County Homeless Strategic Plan.

Thank you,

Nancy Baglietto  
Board President